CONFORMQ MBT & TTCN-3 in practice: The Ericsson RCS project

Stephan Schulz CTO



About the Ericsson RCS Project

- Goal: Investigate the feasibility of the model-based testing approach for end-to-end and conformance testing
 - Context: Interoperability testing of RCS clients and networks
- The project created and validated two models
 Focus of this presentation
 - A RCS network model created from system specifications, standards, and actual system behavior which was validated against a real SUT via TTCN-3 test execution
 - A RCS client model created from system specifications simulating actual XCAP and SIP network behavior, which was validated by running it against the *network model* Presented at SQS 2009



What RCS looks like in practice



© 2010 Conformiq, Inc.

Model-Based Testing with Conformiq

- Model Based Testing (MBT) is an umbrella of approaches where computers automatically generate tests from models based on some coverage criteria
- Conformiq Automated Test Design is an MBT approach which uses advanced technology to automatically derive and generate test scripts, documentation, and reports directly from models specified from a system perspective
- Such models specify the correct (expected) functionality and operation of the system to be tested

CONFORMIQ Automated Test Design[™]

The Conformiq MBT Workflow



CONFORMIQ Automated Test Design™

Scope of Testing: RCS Presence



© 2010 Conformiq, Inc.

The RCS network model

 Specified at a high level of abstraction using QML (UML with Java-like action language)



© 2010 Conformiq, Inc.

Example of Abstraction: processInvite()

- Model focuses on what to test leaves out details
 - "Yes" examples : Return codes, URI, XML doc refs
 - "No" examples: call ID, sequence number, tags handling

```
public int processInvite(SIPRequest request){
String clientURIFromUser = request.from.addr;
String clientURIToUser = request.to.addr;
XDMSconfigRequest presenceDoc = getPresenceDocumentForClient(clientURIFromUser);
if (enableAuthorization){
  if (xdms_isClientOnBuddyList(clientURIToUser, presenceDoc) ||
    xdms isClientOnGrantedContactsList(clientURIToUser, presenceDoc))
    return 200; // OK
 else if(xdms_isClientBlocked(clientURIToUser, presenceDoc)) {
    requirement "Presence/Network/INVITE - deny if on blocked list";
    return 403; // Forbidden
 } else if(xdms_isClientOnPoliteBlockedContactsList(clientURIToUser, presenceDoc)) {
    requirement "Presence/Network/INVITE - deny if on politely blocked list";
    return 480; // Temporarily unavailable
 } else {
    requirement "Presence/Network/INVITE - deny if client not found";
    return 404; // Not found
} }
return 200; // OK
```

© 2010 Conformiq, Inc.

CONFORMIQ Automated Test Design™

Abstract Generated Test Visualization



© 2010 Conformiq, Inc.

Generated TTCN-3 code



© 2010 Conformiq, Inc.

Test Execution: The complete tool chain



© 2010 Conformiq, Inc.

Conclusions

- We have introduced and compared automated versus manual test design in the context of TTCN-3
 - Test engineers specify behavioral models using only directly relevant information
 - Automatic generation of abstract TTCN-3 test cases from models
 - Handling of details is suppressed into a MBT TTCN-3 framework
 - Industrial projects indicate significant gains in productivity
- MBT means a paradigm shift in test automation
 - A higher level of test specification requires new skill set
 - However classic testing skills are still needed and important
- Approach has been validated with complex real world case study, i.e., RCS network testing